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## Families of post-quantum signatures

- Euclidean lattices
- Error-correcting codes
- Hamming metric
- Rank metric
- Isogenies
- Quadratic Multivariate
- Hash-based


## Hamming metric

## Definition (Hamming weight)

The Hamming weight of a word $\boldsymbol{x} \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)^{n}$ is its number of non-zero coordinates:

$$
w(\boldsymbol{x})=\#\left\{i: x_{i} \neq 0\right\}
$$

## Definition (Hamming support)

The Hamming support of a word $x \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)^{n}$ is the set of indexes of its non-zero coordinates :

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left\{i: x_{i} \neq 0\right\}
$$

## Rank metric

In the rank metric, coordinates are in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ (which is a field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of degree $m$ ).

## Definition (Rank weight)

Let $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$. A word $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)^{n}$ can be unfolded against $\gamma$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1,1} & \ldots & x_{n, 1} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{1, m} & \ldots & x_{n, m}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{m, n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)
$$

where $x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i, j} \gamma_{j}$.
The rank weight of $x$ is defined as the rank of this matrix :

$$
w_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})=\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in[0, \min (m, n)]
$$

## Rank metric

## Definition (Rank support)

The support of a word $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)^{n}$ is the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ generated by its coordinates:

$$
\operatorname{Supp}_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})=\operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

And likewise the Hamming metric, the rank weight is equal to the dimension of the rank support.

## Difficult problems in code-based cryptography

## Definition (Syndrome Decoding $\operatorname{SD}(n, k, w)$ )

Given a random parity check matrix $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathcal{M}_{n-k, n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and a syndrome $\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{H e}$ for $\boldsymbol{e}$ an error of Hamming weight $w_{h}(\boldsymbol{e})=w$, find $\boldsymbol{e}$.

## Definition (Rank Syndrome Decoding $\operatorname{RSD}(m, n, k, w)$ )

Given a random parity check matrix $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathcal{M}_{n-k, n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$ and a syndrome $\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{H e}$ for $\boldsymbol{e}$ an error of rank weight $w_{r}(\boldsymbol{e})=w$, find $\boldsymbol{e}$.

## Durandal signature scheme

- Rank-based signature presented at EUROCRYPT'19 [ABG+19]
- Adaptation of Schnorr-Lyubashevsky proof of knowledge, with variations to avoid attacks
- Fiat-Shamir heuristic to transform into a signature scheme
- No equivalent found for Hamming metric
- Based on problems: RSL, IRSD, PSSI


## Major types of post-quantum signatures

Hash and Sign

- Efficient
- Enables advanced protocols (IBE, ABE...)
- Hard to design

Fiat-Shamir

- Balanced performance
- Often based on ad-hoc difficult problems

Hash-based

- High security
- Small public key
- Large signature size, slow to verify


## Comparaison of post-quantum signatures

| Name | Family | Type | pk size | $\sigma$ size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECDSA (Ed25519) | Classic |  | 32 B | 64 B |
| Falcon | Lattice | H\&S | 897 B | 666 B |
| CRYSTALS-DILITHIUM | Lattice | F-S | $1,3 \mathrm{kB}$ | $2,4 \mathrm{kB}$ |
| WAVE [DAST19] | Hamming | H\&S | 3 MB | $1,6 \mathrm{kB}$ |
| SD-in-the-Head <br> (3s) [FJR22] | Hamming | $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{S}$ | 144 B | $8,5 \mathrm{kB}$ |
| Durandal-I | Rank | $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{S}$ | 15.2 kB | 4.1 kB |
| MAYO [Beu22] | Multivariate | $\mathrm{H} \& S$ | 518 B | 494 B |
| SPHINCS+ (128s) | Hash |  | 64 B | 8 kB |

Comparison of a few post-quantum signatures for 128 bits of security.
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## What has happened with Durandal since 2019 ?

- Resistant to attacks since 2019
- Better understanding of the RSL problem (algebraic attack in 2021 [BB21], combinatorial attack in 2022 [BBBG22])
- PSSI reduction to MinRank (ongoing work)
- New combinatorial attack on PSSI (this talk, breaks existing parameters in $\approx 2^{66}$ attempts)
- Optimizations and size-performance tradeoffs
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## Notation

- $\operatorname{Gr}\left(d, \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$ is the set of subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-dimension $d$.
- $x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} X$ means that $x$ is chosen uniformly at random in $X$
- For $E, F \mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$, the product space $E F$ is defined as:

$$
E F:=\operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\{e f \mid e \in E, f \in F\}
$$

If $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right)$ are basis of $E$ and $F$, then $\left(e_{i} f_{j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq d}$ contains a basis of $E F$.

## Product space : example

## Example

$\left(1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{4}, \alpha^{5}\right)$ is a base of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}} \approx \mathbb{F}_{2}[\alpha]$.
As an exemple, let :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\operatorname{Vect}\{1, \alpha\}=\{0,1, \alpha, 1+\alpha\} \\
F & =\operatorname{Vect}\left\{\alpha^{2}, \alpha^{4}\right\}=\left\{0, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{4}, \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{4}\right\} \\
E F & =\operatorname{Vect}\left\{\alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{4}, \alpha^{5}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## PSSI problem

## Definition (PSS sample)

Let $E \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-dimension $r$. A Product Space Subspace (PSS) sample is a pair of subspaces ( $F, Z$ ) defined as follows:

- $F \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{G r}\left(d, \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$
- $U \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{G r}(r d-\lambda, E F)$ such that $\{e f \mid e \in E, f \in F\} \cap U=\{0\}$
- $W \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{G r}\left(w, \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$
- $Z=W+U$


## PSS sample : example

## Example

We keep the same field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}} \approx \mathbb{F}_{2}[\alpha]$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\operatorname{Vect}\{1, \alpha\}=\{0,1, \alpha, 1+\alpha\} \\
F & =\operatorname{Vect}\left\{\alpha^{2}, \alpha^{4}\right\}=\left\{0, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{4}, \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{4}\right\} \\
E F & =\operatorname{Vect}\left\{\alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{4}, \alpha^{5}\right\} \\
U & =\operatorname{Vect}\left\{\alpha^{3}+\alpha^{5}\right\} \rightarrow \operatorname{NOK} \\
U & =\operatorname{Vect}\left\{\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{5}\right\} \rightarrow \mathrm{OK}
\end{aligned}
$$

## PSSI problem

## Definition (Random sample)

A random sample is a couple of subspaces $(F, Z)$ with :

- $F \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{G r}\left(d, \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$
- $Z \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{G r}\left(w+r d-\lambda, \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$
- $F$ and $Z$ are independent


## PSSI problem

## Definition (PSSI problem, from Durandal [ABG $\left.{ }^{+} 19\right]$ )

The Product Spaces Subspaces Indistinguishability (PSSI) problem consists in deciding whether $N$ samples ( $F_{i}, Z_{i}$ ) are PSS samples or random samples.

## Definition (Search-PSSI problem)

Given $N$ PSS samples $\left(F_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$, the search-PSSI problem consists in finding the vector space $E$ of dimension $r$.

## What happens if $\lambda=0$ ?

There is no filtration : $(F, Z)=(F, W+E F)$.
Take $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right)$ a basis of $F$.
To find $E$ in one sample, compute :

$$
A=\bigcap_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}^{-1} Z
$$

Similar arguments than LRPC decoding :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i}^{-1} Z & =f_{i}^{-1} f_{1} E+\ldots+E+\ldots+f_{i}^{-1} f_{d} E+f_{i}^{-1} W \\
& =E+R_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Caveat : $\operatorname{dim}(Z)$ needs to be significantly lower than $m$.

## Practical parameters for PSSI

| $m$ | $w$ | $r$ | $d$ | $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241 | 57 | 6 | 6 | 12 |

$$
\text { Secret } \begin{aligned}
: & E \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^{241}} \\
& \operatorname{dim}(E)=6
\end{aligned}
$$

PSS sample : $(F, Z) \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^{241}}$
$\operatorname{dim}(F)=6$
$\operatorname{dim}(Z)=81$
$Z=W+U$ with $U \subsetneq E F$

## Existing attack for PSSI

Choose $A \subset F$ a subspace of dimension 2 and check whether

$$
\operatorname{dim}(A Z)<2(w+r d-\lambda)
$$

## Proposition ([ABG $\left.{ }^{+} 19\right]$ )

The advantage of the distinguisher is of the order of $q^{(r d-\lambda)-m}$.

## Existing attack for PSSI

Choose $A \subset F$ a subspace of dimension 2 and check whether

$$
\operatorname{dim}(A Z)<2(w+r d-\lambda)
$$

## Proposition ([ABG $\left.{ }^{+} 19\right]$ )

The advantage of the distinguisher is of the order of $q^{(r d-\lambda)-m}$.
Several problems :

- The distinguisher only uses one signature ;
- It does not depend on $w$;
- It does not allow to recover the secret space $E$.
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## Combining two instances

## Simplifying assumption : $w=0, m$ very large.

Combine two PSSI instances $\left(F_{1}, Z_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ by computing

$$
A:=F_{1} Z_{2}+F_{2} Z_{1} \subset E\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)
$$

## Combining two instances

Simplifying assumption : $w=0, m$ very large.

Combine two PSSI instances $\left(F_{1}, Z_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ by computing

$$
A:=F_{1} Z_{2}+F_{2} Z_{1} \subset E\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)
$$

With great probability,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=E\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right) \\
\left(F_{1} Z_{2}+F_{2} Z_{1} \text { is not filtered in } E\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## A partial explanation

If there exists $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, f_{1}, f_{1}^{\prime}, f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1} f_{1}+e_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1} \\
& e_{1} f_{2}+e_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
f_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}-f_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}=e_{1}\left(f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}-f_{2}^{\prime} f_{1}\right)
$$

## Protection by $m$

Recall that

- $\operatorname{dim} F=d$
- $\operatorname{dim} Z=w+r d-\lambda$
so

$$
\operatorname{dim} F_{1} Z_{2}+F_{2} Z_{1}=2 d(w+r d-\lambda)>m
$$

but we can take subspaces of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ to remain below $m$ !

| $m$ | $w$ | $r$ | $d$ | $\lambda$ | $w+r d-\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241 | 57 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 81 |
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## Refining the first observation

By drawing randomly

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{1},\left(f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\stackrel{ }{*}} F_{2}
$$

we get a possibility of having a product element ef (with $\left.e \in E, f \in F_{1} F_{2}\right):$

$$
e f \in f_{1}^{\prime} Z_{2}+f_{2}^{\prime} Z_{1}
$$

We need :

- A way to recover this element $e \in E$;
- A precise probability of recovering $e$


## Simultaneous 2-sums

If the attacker is lucky, after drawing random couples

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{1},\left(f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\Phi}{\leftarrow} F_{2},\left(f_{3}, f_{3}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{3},\left(f_{4}, f_{4}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\Phi}{\leftarrow} F_{4},
$$

there exists a couple $\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) \in E^{2}$, such that a system $(\mathcal{S})$ of four conditions is verified :

$$
(\mathcal{S}):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e f_{1}+e^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1} \\
e f_{2}+e^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2} \\
e f_{3}+e^{\prime} f_{3}^{\prime}=z_{3} \in Z_{3} \\
e f_{4}+e^{\prime} f_{4}^{\prime}=z_{4} \in Z_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Cramer formulas

$$
(\mathcal{S}):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e f_{1}+e^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1} \\
e f_{2}+e^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2} \\
e f_{3}+e^{\prime} f_{3}^{\prime}=z_{3} \in Z_{3} \\
e f_{4}+e^{\prime} f_{4}^{\prime}=z_{4} \in Z_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
e=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
z_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
z_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
f_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}
$$

## Cramer formulas

$$
(\mathcal{S}):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e f_{1}+e^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1} \\
e f_{2}+e^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2} \\
e f_{3}+e^{\prime} f_{3}^{\prime}=z_{3} \in Z_{3} \\
e f_{4}+e^{\prime} f_{4}^{\prime}=z_{4} \in Z_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
e \in A_{i, j}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
Z_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
f_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{f_{j}^{\prime} Z_{i}+f_{i}^{\prime} Z_{j}}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
f_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}
$$

## Cramer formulas

$$
(\mathcal{S}):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e f_{1}+e^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1} \\
e f_{2}+e^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2} \\
e f_{3}+e^{\prime} f_{3}^{\prime}=z_{3} \in Z_{3} \\
e f_{4}+e^{\prime} f_{4}^{\prime}=z_{4} \in Z_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\langle e\rangle=\bigcap_{i \neq j} \frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
Z_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
f_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|} .
$$

## The attack

Input : Four PSSI samples $\left(F_{1}, Z_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}, Z_{2}\right),\left(F_{3}, Z_{3}\right),\left(F_{4}, Z_{4}\right)$

- Step 1 : Draw

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\stackrel{ }{*}} F_{1},\left(f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{2},\left(f_{3}, f_{3}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{3},\left(f_{4}, f_{4}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{4}
$$

- Step 2 : Compute

$$
B=\bigcap_{i \neq j} \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
Z_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
f_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|} .
$$

- Step 3 : If $\operatorname{dim}(B)=0$ or $\operatorname{dim}(B)>1$, go back to Step 1 .
- Step 4 : If $B=\langle e\rangle$, add $e$ to $E_{\text {guess }}$ and restart with new samples.


## Probability of existence of 2-sums

## Heuristic

Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \in E$ and $U \subset E F$ filtered of dimension $r d-\lambda$.
For $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F$ the event

$$
e_{1} f_{1}+e_{2} f_{2} \in U
$$

happens with probability $q^{-\lambda}$.

## Probability of existence of 2-sums

## Lemma

Let $\left(f_{i}, f_{i}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{i}$ for $i \in[1,4]$. Under the previous heuristic, and if $\lambda=2 r$, the probability $\varepsilon$ that there exists a pair $\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) \in E^{2}$, such that the system $(\mathcal{S})$ of four conditions is verified

$$
(\mathcal{S}):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e f_{1}+e^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1} \\
e f_{2}+e^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2} \\
e f_{3}+e^{\prime} f_{3}^{\prime}=z_{3} \in Z_{3} \\
e f_{4}+e^{\prime} f_{4}^{\prime}=z_{4} \in Z_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

admits an asymptotic development

$$
\varepsilon=q^{-6 r}+o_{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(q^{-10 r}\right)
$$

## Does this really work?

We want the chain of intersections

$$
B=\bigcap_{i \neq j} \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
Z_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f_{i} & f_{i}^{\prime} \\
f_{j} & f_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|} .
$$

to be equal to $\{0\}$, in general.

All the subspaces $f_{i} Z_{j}+f_{j} Z_{i}$ are of dimension $2(w+r d-\lambda)$.

| $m$ | $w$ | $r$ | $d$ | $\lambda$ | $2(w+r d-\lambda)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241 | 57 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 162 |

## Probabilities on the intersection of two vector spaces

## Heuristic

Let $A$ and $B$ be uniformly random and independent subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ of dimension $a$ and $b$, respectively.

- If $a+b<m$, then $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{dim}(A \cap B)>0) \approx q^{a+b-m}$;
- If $a+b \geq m$, then the most probable outcome is $\operatorname{dim}(A \cap B)=a+b-m$.


## Generalization to $n$ intersections

## Heuristic

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $A_{i} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{G r}\left(a, \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$ be independent subspaces of fixed dimension a.

- If $n a<(n-1) m$, then $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)>0\right) \approx q^{n a-(n-1) m}$;
- If $n a \geq(n-1) m$, then the most probable outcome is $\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)=n a-(n-1) m ;$

In our setting :

- $a=162, m=241, n=4$

$$
\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{dim}(B)>0) \approx q^{-75}
$$

## Total complexity of the attack

## Proposition

The average complexity of the attack is :

$$
\left(r+\frac{1}{q-1}\right) \times 160 m(w+r d-\lambda)^{2} \times q^{6 r}
$$

operations in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

|  | Theoretical complexity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Durandal-I | $2^{66}$ |
| Durandal-II | $2^{73}$ |

## Experimental results
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## Combinatorial factor of the attack

$$
\begin{gathered}
\approx q^{6 r} \\
\text { (when } \lambda=2 r \text { ) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Increase $\lambda \Rightarrow$ Impossible due to inexistence of solution
Decrease $m \Rightarrow$ Impossible due to Singleton bound
Increase $r \Rightarrow$ Very large parameters... $(m \geq 400)$
Increase $q$ !

## New parameters

| $q$ | $m$ | $k$ | $n$ | $w$ | $r$ | $d$ | $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 241 | 101 | 202 | 57 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| pk size | $\sigma$ size | MaxMinors $\left[\mathrm{BBC}^{+} 20\right]$ |  |  |  | Our attack |  |
| 15.2 KB | 4.1 KB | 98 |  |  | 56 |  |  |

$\downarrow$

| $q$ | $m$ | $k$ | $n$ | $w$ | $r$ | $d$ | $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 173 | 85 | 170 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 18 |
| pk size |  | $\sigma$ size | MaxMinors $\left[\mathrm{BBC}^{+} 20\right]$ |  |  |  | Our attack |
| 14.7 KB | 5.1 KB | 232 |  | 128 |  |  |  |
| Keygen |  | Signature |  | Verification |  |  |  |
| 5 ms |  | 350 ms |  | 2 ms |  |  |  |

## Summary

## (1) PSSI problem

(2) A first observation
(3) An attack against PSSI

4 Mitigation and new parameters
(5) Conclusion and perspectives

## Conclusion

- Analysis of a less studied problem at the core of a competitive signature scheme
- New secure parameters remain attractive
- Optimizations makes the scheme even more competitive


## Perspectives

- Refine the analysis on the security of PSSI problem
- Tweak to avoid the new attack on PSSI without penalizing the parameters
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## A partial explanation

If there exists $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \in E^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1} f_{1}+e_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1} \\
& e_{1} f_{2}+e_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
f_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}+f_{2}^{\prime} z_{1}=e_{1}\left(f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}+f_{2}^{\prime} f_{1}\right)
$$

## Impossibility to avoid 2-sums



## Refining the first observation

By drawing randomly a matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(f_{1}, f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{1},\left(f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} F_{2}
$$

we get (roughly) $q^{-4 d}$ chances of having a product element ef (with $e \in E, f \in F_{1} F_{2}$ ) :

$$
e f \in f_{1}^{\prime} Z_{2}+f_{2}^{\prime} Z_{1}
$$

## Refining the first observation

By drawing randomly a matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(f_{1}, f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\Phi}{\leftarrow} F_{1},\left(f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\Phi}{\leftarrow} F_{2}
$$

we get (roughly) $q^{-4 d}$ chances of having a product element ef (with $e \in E, f \in F_{1} F_{2}$ ) :

$$
e f \in f_{1}^{\prime} Z_{2}+f_{2}^{\prime} Z_{1}
$$

We need :

- A way to recover this element $e \in E$;
- A precise probability of recovering $e$


## The attack

We consider three samples :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(F_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \\
& \left(F_{2}, Z_{2}\right) \\
& \left(F_{3}, Z_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
(1-1 / e)^{3} \approx 0,25
$$

there exists elements such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1} f_{1}+e_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}=z_{1} \in Z_{1}  \tag{1}\\
& e_{1} f_{2}+e_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}=z_{2} \in Z_{2}  \tag{2}\\
& e_{1} f_{3}+e_{2} f_{3}^{\prime}=z_{3} \in Z_{3} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

## Recovering elements of $E$

Suppose $\left(\begin{array}{ll}f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\ f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$ invertible, we can recover $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ with

$$
e_{1}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
z_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
z_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|} \in \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
Z_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|^{-1}\left(f_{2}^{\prime} Z_{1}+f_{1}^{\prime} Z_{2}\right)
$$

Similarly,

$$
e_{2} \in\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|^{-1}\left(f_{2} Z_{1}+f_{1} Z_{2}\right)
$$

## Combining signatures two by two

Compute

$$
A:=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime} Z_{1}+f_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|} \bigcap \frac{f_{3}^{\prime} Z_{1}+f_{1}^{\prime} z_{3}}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1} & f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{3} & f_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|} \bigcap \frac{f_{3}^{\prime} Z_{2}+f_{2}^{\prime} z_{3}}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{2} & f_{2}^{\prime} \\
f_{3} & f_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}
$$

With great probability,

- If we are in the case of equations (1), (2) and (3) then $A=\operatorname{Vect}\left(e_{1}\right)$
- Else, $A=\{0\}$ and we retry with other random $\left(f_{2}, f_{2}^{\prime}, f_{3}, f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$.

Probability of success $\approx 0.25 q^{-4 d}$

## Signing process in Durandal

To produce a Durandal signature, we need to solve a system :

$$
z=c S^{\prime}+p S
$$

with

- $\boldsymbol{p} \in F^{4 k}$ unknown
- $\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{z}) \subset U$ filtered subspace in $E F$ of codimension $\lambda$
- c depending on the message
- $\boldsymbol{S}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}^{\prime}$ the secret key


## Signing process in Durandal

It is shown to be equivalent to solving :

$$
\boldsymbol{M}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{11}  \tag{4}\\
\vdots \\
p_{i \ell} \\
\vdots \\
p_{l k d}
\end{array}\right)=\boldsymbol{b}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{M}$ is the binary matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M}=\left(\pi_{h}\left(f_{\ell} \boldsymbol{S}_{i j}\right)\right)_{11 \leq i \ell \leq 1 k d, 11 \leq h j \leq \lambda n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\pi_{h}$ is the projector on the last $\lambda$ coordinates of $E F$ )

## Naive inversion

$\boldsymbol{M}$ is a large $\lambda n \times \lambda n$ binary matrix.

$$
\text { Cost : } O\left((\lambda n)^{\omega}\right)
$$

## Spotting structure in $M$

$\boldsymbol{M}$ is composed of ideal blocks $\boldsymbol{M}_{\ell, h}=\pi_{h}\left(f_{\ell} \boldsymbol{S}\right)$


## Spotting structure in $M$

Each block is of size $k \times k$ and can be inverted with Euclid's algorithm (with cost $O(k \log k)$ ).

We then use Strassen algorithm :

|  | Naive | Ours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost | $O\left((\lambda n)^{\omega}\right)$ | $O\left(\lambda^{\omega} n \log n\right)$ |


| Keygen | Signature | Verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 ms | 350 ms <br> 40 ms | 5 ms |

## Variant scheme

## Sign

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{y} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow}(W+E F)^{n} \\
& \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{H}^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

Verify

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{z}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{S}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{c}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{p}^{\top}
$$

## Variant scheme

Sign
$\boldsymbol{y} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow}(W+E F)^{n}$ $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{H}^{\top}$

Verify
$\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{z}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{S}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{c}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{p}^{\top}$

## Sign

$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \stackrel{\$ \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{b}}{ }$
Solve $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}=\boldsymbol{y} \hat{\boldsymbol{H}}^{\top}$ with
$\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{y})=W+E F$
$\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{H}^{\top}$

## Verify

Solve
$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{H}} \boldsymbol{z}^{\top}+\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{c}^{\top}+\hat{\boldsymbol{S}} \boldsymbol{p}^{\top}$ with
Supp (z)
$\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{z}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{S}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{c}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{p}^{\top}$

